Welcome to the Webinar

- Once the Webinar begins, if you can't hear the sound, please hang up and dial in again
- You can download a copy of the slides from our website http://www.zurickdavis.com/zurickdavis_industry_insights.php
- All attendees are on mute, so if you would like to ask a question, please type it into the Q&A box in the control panel on your screen
- If you would like to minimize the control panel so that you can see more of the screen, click on the white arrow in the small orange box and the control panel will be minimized. You can click on the arrow to open the panel if you would like to type in a question.

OFF

Ы

ZurickDavis



Leonard D. Schaeffer Center for Health Policy & Economics

How Do Health Insurer Market Concentration and Bargaining Power with Hospitals Affect Health Insurance Premiums?

Erin Trish, Ph.D. - University of Southern California

ZurickDavis Webinar August 10, 2015



Acknowledgments

- Funding: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's Changes in Healthcare Financing and Organization (HCFO) Initiative
- Data Access: Kaiser Family Foundation/Health Research & Educational Trust
- Collaboration: Bradley Herring, Ph.D. Johns Hopkins University



Recent Attention on Insurer Consolidation

Insurers Playing a Game of Thrones

Companies pursue strategic megadeals as industry braces for seismic changes



eismic changesTHE WALL STREET JOURNAL.With Merger Deal, Aetna, HumanaGet Ahead of the Pack

CNN Money

Anthem to acquire Cigna, leaving only 3 big insurance companies



Health Insurer Merger Mania -- Muscle-Bound Competitors And A New Cold War In Health Care

The New York Times

Bigger May Be Better for Health Insurers, but Doubts Remain for Consumers

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

Offsetting Effects of Insurance Concentration

- Competition in US private health insurance markets is complex
 - What effect does insurer market concentration have on private health insurance premiums (i.e., profit margin)?
 - How does insurer market concentration interact with hospital market concentration (i.e., bargaining power over hospital prices)?



Our goal is to disentangle these two offsetting effects



Premium = expected spending * administrative loading factor

Hospital Market

		More Competitive	Moderately Concentrated	Highly Concentrated
Insurance Market	More Competitive	p = load x spend		
	Moderately Concentrated			
	Highly Concentrated			



Premium = expected spending * administrative loading factor

Hospital Market

		More Competitive	Moderately Concentrated	Highly Concentrated
Insurance Market	More Competitive	p = load x spend	↑ p = load x spend	
	Moderately Concentrated			
	Highly Concentrated			

Premium = expected spending * administrative loading factor

Hospital Market

Insurance Market		More Competitive	Moderately Concentrated	Highly Concentrated
	More Competitive	p = load x spend	↑ p = load x spend	
	Moderately Concentrated	p = load x spend	relative magnitue are not cl	e des ear
	Highly Concentrated			

Premium = expected spending * administrative loading factor

Hospital Market

		More Competitive	Moderately Concentrated	Highly Concentrated
Insurance Market	More Competitive	p = load x spend	↑ p = load x spend	↑↑ p = load x spend
	Moderately Concentrated	↑ p = load x spend ↓	↑ p = load x spend	↑ ↑ p = load x spend
	Highly Concentrated	↑↑ p = load x spend	p = load x spend	$ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow\uparrow\\ p = load x spend\\ \downarrow\downarrow \end{array} $

Disentangling Insurance Concentration Effects

- Exploit that small employers typically purchase full insurance coverage whereas larger employers typically self-insure and purchase administrative services
- Measure insurer concentration for two transactions:

	(1) Insurer: Employer	(2a) Insurer: Hospital	(2b) Hospital: Insurer
Insurer	Selling fully-insured	Insurer negotiating	Hospital systems
Transaction to	coverage to smaller	prices with hospital	negotiating prices
Model	employers	systems	with insurers
Enrollment Used	Fully-insured lives only	Combined fully- and	Hospital system
to Calculate		self-insured	private patient
Market Share		commercial business	discharges



How Does Concentration Relate to Premiums?

• *ln(Premium) = f(Insurer Market x Hospital Market)*

	(1) Insurer: Employer	(2a) Insurer: Hospital	(2b) Hospital: Insurer
Insurer	Selling fully-insured	Insurer negotiating	Hospital systems
Transaction to	coverage to smaller	prices with hospital	negotiating prices
Model	employers	systems	with insurers
Enrollment Used	Fully-insured lives only	Combined fully- and	Hospital system
to Calculate		self-insured	private patient
Market Share		commercial business	discharges
Hypothesized Relationship with Premiums	Positive (Load Effect)	Negative (Price Effect)	Positive (Price Effect)



How to Measure Concentration

- Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI): sum of squared market shares of competitors in market
- Example: "Five-to-Four" merger

HHI(5) = $20^2 + 20^2 + 20^2 + 20^2 + 20^2 = 2,000$ HHI(4) = $40^2 + 20^2 + 20^2 + 20^2 = 2,800$

- Defining markets geographically:
 - Core-based statistical areas with metropolitan divisions (geographic area defined by census)
 - Counties

Data Sources

- Premiums for fully-insured plans: KFF/HRET Employer Health Benefits Survey for 2006 through 2011
- Insurer Market Share: HealthLeaders-InterStudy enrollment data for 2005 through 2010
- Hospital Market Share: AHA Annual Survey data for 2005 through 2010
- Also include many other plan, firm, market, and year control variables



Distribution of Market Concentration



Insurer: Employer HHI vs. Insurer: Hospital HHI

Insurer: Hospital HHI vs. Hospital: Insurer HHI

N = 5,270 Fully-Insured Plans HHIs are scaled by 100

Results: Market Concentration and Premiums

• Results from our regression analyses indicate statistically significant relationships between market concentration and premiums consistent with hypotheses

	(1) Insurer: Employer	(2a) Insurer: Hospital	(2b) Hospital: Insurer
Empirical Result (OLS Regression Coefficient)	Positive (0.0021, p=0.029)	Negative (-0.0024, p=0.006)	Positive (0.0019, p=0.000)
Insurer Transaction to Model	Selling fully-insured coverage to smaller employers	Insurer negotiating prices with hospital systems	Hospital systems negotiating prices with insurers
Hypothesized Relationship with Premiums	Positive (Load Effect)	Negative (Price Effect)	Positive (Price Effect)

Magnitude of the Relationship

• Simulated premium change under a "5-to-4" merger

	(1) Insurer: Employer	(2a) Insurer: Hospital	(2b) Hospital: Insurer
Magnitude of Effect	1.7% Increase (+ \$78)	1.9% Decrease (- \$90)	1.5% Increase (+ \$67)
Empirical Result (OLS Regression Coefficient)	Positive (0.0021, p=0.029)	Negative (-0.0024 <i>,</i> p=0.006)	Positive (0.0019, p=0.000)
Insurer Transaction to Model	Selling fully-insured coverage to smaller employers	Insurer negotiating prices with hospital systems	Hospital systems negotiating prices with insurers
Hypothesized Relationship with Premiums	Positive (Load Effect)	Negative (Price Effect)	Positive (Price Effect)

Does Concentration Balance Matter?

- These relationships between insurer and hospital market concentration and premiums could depend on the relative balance of concentration
 - For example: if hospital markets are already relatively competitive, hospital prices may already be relatively low and insurers with stronger bargaining leverage may not be able to negotiate these downward any further
- To examine: stratify the analyses by the level of concentration in insurer/hospital markets



Results: Balance of Concentration Matters

- Negative relationship between premiums and insurer: hospital concentration (i.e., insurer bargaining power measure) stronger when
 - Insurance markets are more highly concentrated
 - Hospital markets are more highly concentrated
- Relationship between hospital market concentration and premiums relatively consistent among more and less concentrated insurance markets



Summary of Key Findings

- High degree of concentration among many insurance and hospital markets
- Evidence that higher levels of insurance concentration do have offsetting effects on premiums
 - Premiums higher when insurers have more concentration in selling coverage to employers
 - Premiums lower when insurers have more concentration in negotiations with hospitals
- Relationship between insurer and hospital concentration and premiums varies by level of concentration in other markets

Conclusions and Policy Implications

- Insurance markets are complex and the impact of consolidation can be expected to vary depending on local market conditions
- Relative balance (not just degree) of local market concentration among insurer and hospitals is important when considering effects on premiums
- Policies targeted toward increasing competition among insurance markets may be misguided absent other interventions to address hospital/provider bargaining leverage
- Higher prices resulting from hospital market consolidation are passed-through to consumers as higher premiums

Additional Information/Questions

Journal of Health Economics 42 (2015) 104–114



How do health insurer market concentration and bargaining power with hospitals affect health insurance premiums?



Erin E. Trish^{a,*}, Bradley J. Herring^{b,1}

 ^a Leonard D. Schaeffer Center for Health Policy and Economics, University of Southern California, and Department of Health Policy and Management, University of California, Los Angeles Verna and Peter Dauterive Hall 301-3 635 Downey Way, Los Angeles, CA 90089-3333, United States
 ^b Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Department of Health Policy and Management, 624 North Broadway, Room 408, Baltimore, MD 21205, United States

Email Contact: <a href="mailto:etailto



USC Schaeffer

Leonard D. Schaeffer Center for Health Policy & Economics

Thank You!

We hope you enjoyed this presentation. To make sure that you receive invitations to future Webinars, follow us: @ZurickDavis

A copy of the slides from this presentation can be downloaded from our web site http://www.zurickdavis.com/zurickdavis_industry_insights.php

ZurickDavis